Optical Coherence Tomography Detects a Narrowing of the Anterior Chamber Angle in Keratoconus
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Keratoconus is a bilateral ectatic corneal disorder characterized by progressive corneal thinning and conical protrusion producing irregular astigmatism. Early in the disease course the cornea can appear normal, and recognition of keratoconic changes is made based on a variety of modalities including biomicroscopy, keratometry, pachymetry, and corneal topography. The current standard for diagnosis is through use of Placido disc based topography or Scheimpflug imaging, though each has its inherent limitations.

Identification of keratoconic corneas is essential to prevent the corneas from entering the eye bank donor pool. Additionally, early diagnosis of the disease is crucial for patients considering LASIK or other refractive procedures. The emergence of collagen crosslinking as a therapeutic modality for keratoconus has further underscored the importance of early detection. Collagen crosslinking uses ultraviolet light and riboflavin to strengthen and stabilize corneal collagen; however it is most effective early in the disease course, highlighting the importance of early detection. Collagen crosslinking uses ultraviolet light and riboflavin to strengthen and stabilize corneal collagen; however it is most effective early in the disease course, highlighting the importance of early diagnosis.

Corneal crosslinking has shown promising results in the short term, and long term outcomes have shown stabilization in keratoconus patients out to 10 years.

Patients with keratoconus carry a 10-20% lifetime risk of needing a corneal transplant.

Given the prevalence of keratoconus and shortcomings of current diagnostic modalities, it is important to identify cornea donors with keratoconus so that they do not enter the eye bank tissue supply. Thus, it is important to better characterize the disease and to seek out alternate methods to aid in early detection. Newer 3-dimensional imaging systems are now being utilized to evaluate keratoconic changes; including scanning-slit tomography, rotating Scheimpflug imaging, and anterior segment optical coherence tomography (AS-OCT).

There have been several studies evaluating AS-OCT to track keratoconic changes. High-speed AS-OCT provides 16 high-resolution (18 µm) cross-sectional images of the anterior segment. Its scanning beam utilizes infrared 1310nm wavelength and obtains 2000 A-scans/sec, thus providing greater penetration and clearer imaging in opaque tissues compared to alternative modalities.

However, data on the anterior chamber parameters in these eyes with regards to early diagnosis and disease progression in keratoconus is still limited. Anterior segment OCT has shown to have good repeatability and reproducibility with regards to measurement of anterior segment angle measurements, with continued improvement as technology evolves.

The purpose of our study is to evaluate changes in anterior chamber dimensions in patients with keratoconus using AS-OCT.

METHODS

Subjects

Twenty eyes of 12 patients with keratoconus (5 male and 7 female) and 20 eyes of 10 normal control subjects (3 males and 7 females) were enrolled for this cross-sectional observational study at the University of Minnesota Department of Ophthalmology and Visual Neurosciences, Minneapolis, MN. This study followed the tenants of the Declaration of Helsinki, was in accord with the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996, and was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the University of Minnesota. Written informed consent was obtained from all the subjects. Keratoconic eyes included in this study were diagnosed clinically. All eyes were phakic and had central or paracentral corneal steepening shown on topography.
addition, all eyes had at least one clinical sign including slit lamp findings of stromal thinning, anterior conicity, Vogt striae, Fleischer ring, breaks in Descemet’s membrane, apical scars, or subepithelial fibrosis. No eyes included in the study had signs or known history of other cornea disease or corneal scarring, and none had undergone previous ocular surgery.

Anterior Segment-Optical Coherence Tomography and Corneal Topography Imaging

Spectral domain AS-OCT scans were acquired with high-resolution (18um) Visante anterior segment OCT (Carl Zeiss Meditec, Inc.). Spectral domain AS-OCT was performed on all study eyes to produce cross sectional images. (Each eye was scanned and the pachymetry maps were calculated and divided into zones by quadrants as previously described.16 Quadrant values were averaged in the 2-5mm diameter zone. All images were taken by 1 of 3 highly experienced ophthalmic photographers. Placido disc based corneal topography was also performed on all study eyes with either Nidek (Nidek, Freemont, CA) or Atlas (Carl Zeiss Meditec, Inc.) topograph systems. All subjects were undilated, with images obtained in same room under similar lighting conditions. Poor quality images were excluded.

Anterior Segment-Optical Coherence Tomography Parameters

We measured several diagnostic parameters from the AS-OCT imaging, including the trabecular-iris angle (TIA), angle-opening distance at 500 µm (AOD500), anterior chamber depth (ACD), and angle-to-angle distance (ATA), reflecting a previously published method (Figure 1).27 The average thickness of the superior (S) quadrant minus that of the inferior (I) quadrant (S-I) was calculated from the central 5mm diameter of the pachymetry map with the goal of capturing the asymmetric nature of keratoconic corneal thinning as has been previously demonstrated.16 All measurements were taken by a single investigator (AM), who was blinded to the patients’ group.

Statistical Analysis – Data were analyzed on an Excel spreadsheet (Microsoft Corp, Redmond, WA). Comparative analysis of visual acuity was performed by converting Snellen acuity to logarithm of the minimum angle of resolution (LogMAR). Statistical comparisons were made using SPSS 19.0 software (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY). A P value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Keratoconus patients were comprised of 5 males and 12 females, the control group was comprised of 3 males and 10 females. The mean ± standard deviation (SD) age was 44.0 ± 15.7 years in the keratoconus group and 36.2 ± 10.9 years in the control group (p=0.07). The mean spherical equivalent refractive error in this group was -2.32 ± 3.38 in the keratoconus group and -2.82 ± 3.04 in the control group (p=0.63). LogMAR best corrected visual acuity (BCVA) was 0.18 ± 0.28 in the keratoconus group and 0.00 ± 0.02 in the control group (p=0.01) (Table 1).

Anterior chamber angle (ACA) parameters of normal ACA are described in Table 2. Central corneal thickness (CCT)

Table 1. Demographic data, refractive error and visual acuities in control and keratoconus groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Controls (n=20)</th>
<th>KCN (n=20)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender (% Male)</td>
<td>3/10 (30%)</td>
<td>5/12 (42%)</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>36.2 ± 10.9</td>
<td>44.0 ± 15.7</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spherical Equivalent Refractive Error (Diopeters)</td>
<td>-2.82 ± 3.04</td>
<td>-2.32 ± 3.38</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCVA (LogMAR)</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.18 ± 0.28</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BCVA= best corrected visual acuity
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The results of the comparison of ACA diagnostic parameters between keratoconus and control eyes is summarized in Table 3. The average TIA measured at the temporal angle was found to be significantly narrowed in keratoconus patients compared to controls ($34.74 ± 7.55$ degrees vs $40.37$

### Table 2. Corneal thickness and anterior chamber measurements in control and keratoconus groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>KCN</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>aCCT (µm)</td>
<td>539.5 ± 31.9</td>
<td>475.0 ± 58.6</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACD (mm)</td>
<td>3.35 ± 0.22</td>
<td>3.53 ± 0.33</td>
<td>0.049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pupil Diameter (mm)</td>
<td>5.02 ± 1.11</td>
<td>5.08 ± 1.26</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATA (mm)</td>
<td>12.16 ± 0.53</td>
<td>12.37 ± 0.57</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CCT = central corneal thickness  
ACD = anterior chamber depth  
ATA = angle-to-angle distance

was significantly thinner in keratoconus patients compared to control ($475.0 ± 58.6µm$ vs $539.5 ± 31.9µm$, $p=0.0003$). ACD was found to be larger in keratoconus patients compared to controls ($3.53±0.33$ vs $3.35±0.22$, $p=0.049$). There was no significant difference in either pupil diameter or ATA between the groups (Table 2).

### Table 3. Characteristics of the transplanted patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Age, years (mean ± SD)</th>
<th>Male, n (%)</th>
<th>Right eye, n (%)</th>
<th>Surgical indication, n (%)</th>
<th>Keratoplasty procedures</th>
<th>Follow-up, months (mean ± SD)</th>
<th>Transplant outcome, n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>With diabetic donor</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>61.4 ± 23.5</td>
<td>7 (63.6)</td>
<td>6 (54.5)</td>
<td>BK: 3 (27.3)</td>
<td>DALK: 2 (18.2)</td>
<td>16.6 ± 6.7</td>
<td>Overall graft success: 9 (81.8) “High risk” graft failure: 2 (18.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>KC: 3 (27.3)</td>
<td>DMEK: 1 (9.1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Corneal opacity: 2 (18.2)</td>
<td>PK: 8 (72.7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tectonic: 1 (9.1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With non-diabetic donor</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>46.4 ± 21.9</td>
<td>25 (55.6)</td>
<td>24 (53.3)</td>
<td>BK: 5 (10.4)</td>
<td>DALK: 12 (25)</td>
<td>17.3 ± 6.3</td>
<td>Overall graft success: 39 (81.3) “High risk” graft failure: 2 (14.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FED: 6 (12.5)</td>
<td>DMEK: 3 (6.3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Corneal opacity: 10 (20.8)</td>
<td>PK: 33 (68.8)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>KC: 16 (33.3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Peter’s anomaly: 1 (2.1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PRSE: 1 (2.1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Salzmann: 1 (2.1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tectonic: 7 (14.6)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Therapeutic: 1 (2.1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BK, bullous keratopathy; DALK, deep anterior lamellar keratoplasty; DMEK, Descemet membrane endothelial keratoplasty; FED, Fuchs endothelial dystrophy; KC, keratoconus; PK, penetrant keratoplasty; PPD, posterior polymorphous dystrophy; PRSE, post refractive surgery ectasia; SD, standard deviation.
This relationship can be illustrated by Laplace’s formula:

\[ S = IOP \left( \frac{r}{2t} \right), \]

where \( S \) is the stress tension on the cornea, \( IOP \) is the intraocular pressure, \( t \) is the corneal thickness and \( r \) is the radius of curvature.\(^{36-38}\) This formula shows that the central cone in keratoconus with decreased thickness and decreased radius-of-curvature will act as a stress-reducing mechanism to counteract the effect of central thinning. Similarly, in areas of peripheral flattening (areas of increased corneal thickness) there is an increase in the radius-of-curvature, which will allow high stresses to be tolerated without an increase in surface area from stress induced stretching.

The use of central corneal thickness as a measure for evaluating keratoconus has been well established. Numerous studies have established significant thinning in keratoconus as compared to normal corneas.\(^2,25,39-42\) In addition to focal thinning, Li \emph{et al.} found that asymmetric and eccentric corneal thinning is also characteristic of keratoconus, particularly when comparing the interior and superior pachymetry measurements using OCT in the central 5mm area of the cornea.\(^{16}\) We feel the linear relationship shown in Figure 3 comparing the S-I pachymetry maps to nasal ACA measurements \( AOD_{500} \) and TIA demonstrates the complementary utility of OCT pachymetry maps and AS-OCT parameters in detection of keratoconus.

Our study was limited by small sample size, inability to control for axial length, and subjectivity involved in making manual ACA measurements. However, in 2011 Liu \emph{et al.} evaluated reliability of AS-OCT and found a high degree of reproducibility for angle measurements as shown by both intra and inter-observer reproducibility coefficients and intra-class correlation coefficients.\(^{32}\) Reproducibility of ACA measurements by AS-OCT has also been demonstrated with inter-session and inter-operator agreement for temporal \( AOD_{500} \).\(^{27}\) We feel that AS-OCT has been shown to produce consistent anterior chamber angle measurements, and is thus a reliable metric for evaluation of early keratoconic changes.

In the present study, we only assessed nasal and temporal anterior chamber angles. Further evaluation of superior and inferior angle structures given the pachymetric relationship in these areas for early detection of keratoconus may provide increased sensitivity and specificity in disease detection. Despite the demonstrated reliability of AS-OCT ACA measurements, automated software to validate current data with the goal of decreased subjectivity, improved reproducibility and additional measurements would further improve this imaging modality. Finally, long term prospective monitoring of disease progression with repeated imaging will further validate AS-OCT as a measure for early detection.
CONCLUSION

AS-OCT has been shown to be a valid and reliable diagnostic tool to identify keratoconus. This is particularly important to prevent ectatic corneal tissue, from keratoconus, from entering the eye bank tissue supply, and given the increasing emphasis on early detection in light of new treatment modalities such as corneal crosslinking. Our study suggests that keratoconus produces a central corneal steepening and a peripheral corneal flattening associated with narrowing of the anterior chamber angle. These findings may be useful as an alternate method for keratoconus detection and monitoring of disease progression, and may provide a better understanding of the pathophysiology of keratoconus.
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